REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Currently in the case, before amendment, claims 1, 5 & 6 were rejected and claims 2-4 and 7-9 - 4 & 7 - 8 were pending and rejected. The claims have been amended to mathemacitally take advantage of the Examiner's indications of claims which were objected to. As a result, the substantive rejections in paragraphs 1-3 of the action will have been moot. Claims 10- 17 add the limitations of claims 5 & 6 to the remainder of the now independent claims.

There was nothing in the Office action of 9/21/2005 to indicate the allowability of the drawings. Attorney for Applicant assumes that the drawings originally submitted in the case are acceptable to the Examiner.

Applicant requests reconsideration and ultimate allowability of all aspects of the case, including all of claims 1 - 17.

The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's Attorney at the number below between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, if such will advance this case.

December 12, 2005 Dated:

unt Honersla

Tel. (562) 594-9784

Fax. (562) 594-4414

Docket: ROSS-1

Respectfully submitted:

Curt Harrington

Registration Number 31,456

Suite 250

6300 State University Drive

Long Beach, CA 90815

DATE OF DEPOSIT: December 13, 2005

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER OR FEE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: Box Non-Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Curtis L. Harrington Reg. No. 31,456